THE BRAID GROUP OF A NECKLACE

PAOLO BELLINGERI AND ARNAUD BODIN

Abstract. We show several geometric and algebraic aspects of a necklace: a link composed with a core circle and a series of circles linked to this core. We first prove that the fundamental group of the configuration space of necklaces (that we will call braid group of a necklace) is isomorphic to the braid group over an annulus quotiented by the square of the center. We then define braid groups of necklaces and affine braid groups of type $A$ in terms of automorphisms of free groups and characterize these automorphisms among all automorphisms of free groups. In the case of affine braid groups of type $A$ such representation is faithful.

1. Introduction

The braid group $B_n$ can be defined as the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ distinct points on a disk. By extension, when we replace the disk by another surface $\Sigma$, we define the braid group on $n$ strands over $\Sigma$ as the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ distinct points on $\Sigma$. A particular case is when $\Sigma$ is the annulus: the braid group of the annulus, denoted by $CB_n$ (for circular braid group) is the fundamental group of the configuration space $CB_n$ of $n$ distinct points over an annulus.

Figure 1. A braid with 4 strands over a disk (left). A braid with 6 strands over an annulus (right).
There is a 3-dimensional analogous of $B_n$: it is the fundamental group of all configurations of $n$ unlinked Euclidean circles. Following [5], we will denote by $\mathcal{R}_n$ the space of configurations of $n$ unlinked Euclidean circles and by $R_n$ its fundamental group (called *group of rings* in [5]). The group $R_n$ is generated by 3 types of moves (see figure 2).

The move $\rho_i$ is the path permuting the $i$-th and the $i+1$-th circles by passing over (or around) while $\sigma_i$ permutes them by passing the $i$-th circle through the $i+1$-th and $\tau_i$ is a $180^\circ$ rotation of the circle back to itself, which verifies $\tau_i^2 = \text{id}$ (see [5], with reverse notation for $\sigma_i$ and $\rho_i$, see also [13]). To avoid the last move $\tau_i$ one can define $UR_n$ as the configuration of $n$ unlinked Euclidean circles being all parallel to a fixed plane, say the $yz$-plane (*untwisted rings*). The fundamental group of this configuration space is denoted in [5] by $UR_n$ but we will denote it by $WB_n$ and we shall call it *welded braid group*, since this is the most usual name for this group which appears in the literature in other different contexts such as motion groups ([14],[15]), ribbon tubes ([2]) automorphisms of free groups ([4],[12]) or collections of paths ([12]).

This article is devoted to the relationship between configuration spaces of points over an annulus and configuration spaces of Euclidean circles. We will introduce the configuration space of a special link, composed with Euclidean circles, called the *necklace* and denoted by $\mathcal{L}_n$ and we will consider induced representations as automorphisms of free groups.
Our first result (Theorem 2) is that the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ components necklaces (that we will call braid group of a necklace) is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the configuration space of $n$ points over an annulus (which is $C B_n$) quotiented by the square of its center.

A theorem of Artin characterizes automorphisms of a free group coming from the action of the standard braid group. In our case, to a loop $L_n(t)$ of necklaces we associate an automorphism from $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus L_n)$ to itself. Our second result, Theorem 9, is an analogous of Artin’s theorem for the braid group of a necklace.

In section 5, we define affine braid groups of type $A$ in terms of configurations of Euclidean circles and we refine the representation given in Theorem 9 to obtain a faithful representation and a characterization as automorphisms of free groups for affine braid groups of type $A$ (Theorem 13): this is the third main result of the paper. In section 6 we show how to define the braid group $B_n$ in terms of configurations of Euclidean circles and we give a short survey on some remarkable (pure) subgroups of $W B_n$; finally, in the Appendix we find the kernel of a particular representation of $C B_n$ in $\text{Aut } F_n$, proving this way the statement of Theorem 11 which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 13.

2. Necklaces and circular braids

2.1. The circular braid group. Recall that the circular braid group $C B_n$ is the fundamental group of $n$ distinct points in the plane less the origin (i.e. topologically an annulus). The circular braid group $C B_n$ admits the following presentation (where the indices are defined modulo $n$, see for instance [17]):

$$C B_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \zeta \mid \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i & \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\ \tilde{\zeta} \sigma_i \tilde{\zeta} = \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \end{array} \right\rangle,$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}$ stands for $\zeta^{-1}$. Geometrically $\sigma_i$ consists in permuting the $i$-th and $i + 1$-th point and $\zeta$ in a cyclic permutation of the points.

2.1. The circular braid group. Recall that the circular braid group $C B_n$ is the fundamental group of $n$ distinct points in the plane less the origin (i.e. topologically an annulus). The circular braid group $C B_n$ admits the following presentation (where the indices are defined modulo $n$, see for instance [17]):

$$C B_n = \left\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \zeta \mid \begin{array}{ll} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i & \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\ \tilde{\zeta} \sigma_i \tilde{\zeta} = \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \end{array} \right\rangle,$$

where $\tilde{\zeta}$ stands for $\zeta^{-1}$. Geometrically $\sigma_i$ consists in permuting the $i$-th and $i + 1$-th point and $\zeta$ in a cyclic permutation of the points.

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{A move $\sigma_i$ (left). The move $\zeta$ (right).}
\end{figure}
This is closed to a presentation of the classical braid group, with two major differences: (a) the indices are defined modulo $n$; (b) there are additional relations $\zeta \sigma_i \zeta = \sigma_{i+1}$. In fact these latter relations enable to generate $CB_n$ with only two generators: $\sigma_1$ and $\zeta$. We will consider the (well defined) representation $\rho_{CB} : CB_n \to \text{Aut } F_n$ defined as follows:

$$
\rho_{CB}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} \\
  x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
  x_j \mapsto x_j \quad j \neq i, i + 1
\end{cases} \quad \rho_{CB}(\zeta) : \begin{cases} 
  x_j \mapsto x_{j+1}
\end{cases}
$$

where indices are modulo $n$. The following Theorem will be proved in the Appendix and will play a key role in next sections.

**Theorem 1.** The kernel of $\rho_{CB} : CB_n \to \text{Aut } F_n$ is the cyclic group generated by $\zeta^n$.

### 2.2. Necklaces

Let $\mathcal{L}_n = K_0 \cup K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_n$ be the following link:

A link is called a *necklace* if:

- $K_0$ is an Euclidean circle of center $O$ and of radius 1,
- each Euclidean circle $K_i$ has a center $O_i$ belonging to $K_0$, the circle $K_i$ being of radius $r_i$ with $0 < r_i < \frac{1}{2}$ and belonging to the plane containing the line $(OO_i)$ and perpendicular to the plane of $K_0$,
- if $O_i = O_j$ then $r_i \neq r_j$.

We will suppose $n \geq 2$ and that the link is oriented.

In particular each $K_i$ is a trivial knot such that:

$$
\begin{cases}
  \text{lk}(K_0, K_i) = +1 & i = 1, \ldots, n \\
  \text{lk}(K_i, K_j) = 0 & i, j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad i \neq j
\end{cases}
$$

### 2.3. The iteration $\tau^n$

Let $\tau \in \pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n)$ denote the circular permutation of circles $K_1 \to K_2, K_2 \to K_3, \ldots$. It is important to understand the iteration $\tau^n$. We give two visions of $\tau^n$ in $\pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n)$. 

![Figure 5. The necklace $\mathcal{L}_n$.](image-url)
This move can of course be seen as \( n \) iterations of \( \tau \): so that it is a full rotation of all the \( K_i \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\) along \( K_0 \), back to their initial position.

\( \tau^n \) can be seen in another way: suppose that \( K_1, \ldots, K_n \) are sufficiently closed circles. Fixing those \( K_i \), \( \tau^n \) corresponds to a full rotation of \( K_0 \) around all the \( K_i \).

Indeed each of this move is rotation of angle \( 2\pi \) around an axis, and we can continuously change the axis to go from the first vision to the second.

Figure 6. Two visions of \( \tau^n \).

2.4. The fundamental group of Conf \( L_n \).

**Theorem 2.** For \( n \geq 2 \), the group \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \ L_n) \) is isomorphic to the circular braid group \( CB_n/\langle \zeta^{2n} \rangle \).

**Proof.** We start with a rigid configuration where \( K_0^* \) is the Euclidean circle in the plane \((Oxy)\) centered at \( O \) and of radius 1. A necklace having such a core circle \( K_0^* \) is called a normalized necklace and we denote it by \( L_n^* \).

**Lemma 3.** Conf \( L_n^* \) is homeomorphic to the configuration space \( CB_n \).

**Proof of the lemma.** We consider \( CB_n \) as the configuration space of \( n \) points lying on the annulus \( A_0 = D_1 \setminus D_{\frac{1}{2}} \), where \( D_r \) denotes the closed disk in the plane \((Oxy)\) centered at \( O \) of radius \( r \). To a normalized necklace \( L_n^* \) we associate \( n \) points of \( A_0 \) as follows:

\[
(K_1, \ldots, K_n) \mapsto (K_1 \cap A_0, \ldots, K_n \cap A_0).
\]

As each point of \( A_0 \) determines a unique normalized circle \( K_i \), this map is a bijection. This maps and its inverse are continuous. \( \square \)

We end the proof of Theorem 2 as follows. To a necklace \( L_n \) having core circle \( K_0 \) we associate a unit vector \( u_0 \), orthogonal to the plane containing \( K_0 \) (and oriented according to the orientation of \( K_0 \)).
Let $G : \text{Conf}(\mathcal{L}_n) \to S^2$ be the map defined by $G(\mathcal{L}_n) = u_0$. This map $G$ is a locally trivial fibration whose fiber is $\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n^*$ (for the unit vector $u_0 = (0,0,1)$). The long exact sequence in homotopy for the fibration $\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n^* \hookrightarrow \text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n \twoheadrightarrow S^2$ provides:

$$0 \to \pi_2(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n^*) \overset{H_2}{\twoheadrightarrow} \pi_2(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \overset{G_2}{\to} \pi_2(S^2) \to \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n^*) \overset{H_1}{\to} \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \to 0$$

It implies that $H_1$ is surjective (since in the exact sequence $\pi_1(S^2)$ is trivial).

Before computing the kernel of $H_1$, we give a motivation why $H_1$ is not injective. There is a natural map $K$ from $\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n$ to $SO_3$. Let us see $SO_3$ as the space of direct orthonormal frames $(u_0, u_1, u_2)$. To a necklace $\mathcal{L}_n$ we associate $u_0$ as above, while $u_1$ is the unit vector from the origin $O$ to $K_1$, then we set $u_2 = u_0 \wedge u_1$ (see figure 7).

Let us denote by $\pi : SO_3 \to S^2$, the natural projection $\pi(u_0, u_1, u_2) = u_0$. We have a commutative diagram, that is to say $G = \pi \circ K$. But as $\pi_2(SO_3) = 0$, it implies $G_2 = 0$. By the exact sequence, $d$ is injective, so that $\pi_2(S^2) = \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Im} d = \ker H_1$.

There is another interesting point with $SO_3$. In fact if we now see $SO_3$ as the space of rotations, we denote by $\rho$ a full rotation around the vertical axis (supported by $u_0$). The $\rho \neq \text{id}$, but $\rho^2 \simeq \text{id}$ (because $\pi_1(SO_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). For us the move $\rho$ corresponds to the full rotation $\tau^n$. It gives the idea that in $\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n$, $\tau^n$ generates a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, but $\tau^{2n}$ is homotopic to id in $\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n$.

We will now compute the kernel of $H_1$ as $\text{Im} d$, where $d$ is the boundary map coming from the exact sequence : $\ker H_1 = \langle \tau^{2n} \rangle$.

We go back to the construction of this boundary map $d$ (see for instance, 16, Theorem 6.12) and we explicit one of the lifting. Let $f$ be the generator of $\pi_2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $f : S^2 \to S^2$, $f(x) = x$, but we prefer to see it as a map

$$f : I \times I \to S^2 \quad \text{such that} \quad f(\partial I^2) = N$$

where $N = (0,0,1)$ is the North pole of $S^2$. 

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure7.png}
\caption{The normal vector $u_0$.}
\end{figure}
We lift $f$ to a map $\tilde{f}$ from $\partial I \times I \cup I \times \{0\}$ to the base-point $\mathcal{L}_n^*$ in Conf $\mathcal{L}_n$ (and Conf $\mathcal{L}_{n}^*$, for which $u_0$ is $ON$).

By the homotopy lifting property, it extends to a map $h : I \times I \rightarrow \text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n$, and $d(f) \in \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n^*)$ is the map induced by $h|_{I \times \{1\}}$ (figure 8).

One way to explicit this lifting is to see $I^2 \setminus \partial I^2$ as $S^2 \setminus \{N\}$. On $S^2$ there exists a continuous vector field, that is non-zero except at $N$ (see the dipole integral curves in figure 11, see also [6, section 5] for other links between vector fields and configuration spaces). Now at each point $u_0 \in S^2 \setminus \{N\}$ is associated a non-zero vector $u_1$. Let us define $h : S^2 \setminus \{N\} \rightarrow \text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n$ as follows: for $u_0 \in S^2 \setminus \{N\}$, $h(u_0)$ is the unique necklace $\mathcal{L}_n$, such $G(\mathcal{L}_n) = u_0$ and the unit vector from $O$ to $K_1$ is $u_1$. We define $K_2, \ldots, K_n$ as parallel copies of $K_1$ (figure 9).
Figure 9. A necklace constructed from $u_0 \in S^2$ and $u_1 \in T_{u_0}S^2$.

Now $d(f)$ corresponds to the lift $h(\gamma)$ where $\gamma$ is a small loop in $S^2$ around $N$. Due to the dipole figure at $N$, $h(\gamma)$ is exactly $\tau^{2n}$ (figures 10 and 11).

Figure 10. The vector fields on $S^2$ around $N$ and the family of necklaces.

Figure 11. The family of necklaces $\tau^{2n}$. 
A first conclusion is that $\text{Ker } H_1 = \langle d(f) \rangle = \langle \tau^{2n} \rangle$. And finally:

$$\pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n) \cong \pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n^*)/\langle \tau^{2n} \rangle \cong CB_n/\langle \zeta^{2n} \rangle$$

Let us end the section with few remarks:

**Remark 4.** Since $\zeta^n$ generates the center of $CB_n$ then the group generated by $\zeta^{2n}$ is normal: so we can effectively write $\langle \zeta^{2n} \rangle$ instead of $\langle \langle \zeta^{2n} \rangle \rangle$; let us also recall that, denoting by $\text{Mod}_{n+2}(S^2)$ the mapping class group of the $n+2$-punctured sphere, $CB_n/\langle \zeta^n \rangle$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $\text{Mod}_{n+2}(S^2)$ fixing two punctures (see [7]).

**Remark 5.** In Theorem 2 we constructed a map $h_n : CB_n \to \pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n)$ where the generator $\zeta$ in $CB_n$ corresponds to the move $\tau$ in $\pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n)$, while the generator $\sigma_i$ in $CB_n$ corresponds to the move $\sigma_i$ which permutes the $i$-th circle with the $i+1$-th one (modulo $n$) by passing the $i$-th circle through the $i+1$-th. The kernel of $h_n$ is the group generated by $\zeta^{2n}$ and the group $\pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n)$ admits the following group presentation:

$$\pi_1(\text{Conf } \mathcal{L}_n) = \left\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \tau \mid \begin{align*}
\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\sigma_i \sigma_j &= \sigma_j \sigma_i & \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\
\bar{\tau} \sigma_i \tau &= \sigma_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \\
\tau^{2n} &= 1
\end{align*} \right\rangle.$$

3. **Action on $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n)$**

As recalled in the introduction, we denote:

- the configuration space of $n$ unlinked Euclidean circles by $\mathcal{R}_n$ and $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_n)$ by $R_n$;
- the configuration of $n$ unlinked Euclidean circles being all parallel to a fixed plane, say the $yz$-plane, by $UR_n$ and its fundamental group by $WB_n$.

Clearly $WB_n$ can be seen as a subgroup of $R_n$ and it is generated by two families of elements, $\rho_i$ and $\sigma_i$ (see figure 2 and [5]): $\rho_i$ is the path permuting the $i$-th and the $i+1$-th circles by passing over, while $\sigma_i$ permutes them by passing the $i$-th circle through the $i+1$-th.

A motion of a compact submanifold $N$ in a manifold $M$ is a path $f_t$ in $\text{Homeo}_c(M)$ such that $f_0 = \text{id}$ and $f_1(N) = N$, where $\text{Homeo}_c(M)$ denotes the group of homeomorphisms of $M$ with compact support. A motion is called stationary if $f_t(N) = N$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The motion group $\mathcal{M}(M, N)$ of $N$ in $M$ is the group of equivalence classes of motion of $N$ in $M$ where two motions $f_t, g_t$ are equivalent if $(g^{-1}f)_t$ is homotopic relative to endpoints to a stationary motion. The notion of motion groups was proposed by R. Fox, and studied by P. Dahm, one of his students. The first published article on the topic is [14]. Notice that motion groups generalize fundamental groups...
of configuration spaces, and that each motion is equivalent to a motion that fixes a point \( * \in M \setminus N \), when \( M \) is non-compact, it is possible to define a homomorphism (the Dahm morphism):

\[
D_N : \mathcal{M}(M, N) \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M \setminus N, *))
\]
sending an element represented by the motion \( f_t \), into the automorphism induced on \( \pi_1(M \setminus N, *) \) by \( f_1 \).

When \( M = \mathbb{R}^3 \) and \( N \) is a set \( \mathcal{L}' \) of \( n \) unlinked Euclidean circles we get a map

\[
D_{\mathcal{L}'} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}', *))
\]
This map is injective (see [14]) and sends generators of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) (and therefore of \( WB_n \)) in the following automorphisms of the free group \( F_n = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \):

\[
\sigma_i : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto x_ix_{i+1}x_i \\
  x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
  x_j \mapsto x_j & j \neq i, i+1
\end{cases}
\quad \rho_i : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto x_{i+1} \\
  x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
  x_j \mapsto x_j & j \neq i, i+1
\end{cases}
\quad \tau_j : \begin{cases} 
  x_j \mapsto x_j \\
  x_k \mapsto x_k & k \neq j
\end{cases}
\]
where \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, n \).

Now let \( \mathcal{L}_n \) be a necklace: by forgetting the core circle \( K_0 \) we obtain a map from \( \text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n \) to \( \mathcal{R}_n \). To \( \Gamma = \mathcal{L}_n(t) \) in \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \), we can therefore associate an automorphism \( D_{\mathcal{L}_n}(\Gamma) : \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) \). It is easy to compute the \( \pi_1 \) of the complement of \( \mathcal{L}_n \) by giving its Wirtinger presentation:

\[
\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, y \mid x_ix_i = yx_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle
\]
so that we obtain

\[
\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) \cong F_n \times \mathbb{Z}.
\]

The action of the generators of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) are (with indices modulo \( n \)):

\[
\sigma_i : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto x_ix_{i+1}x_i \\
  x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
  y \mapsto y
\end{cases}
\quad \tau : \begin{cases} 
  x_j \mapsto x_{j+1} \\
  y \mapsto y
\end{cases}
\]

Lemma 6.  
(1) Let \( D_{\mathcal{L}_n} : \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \to \text{Aut} \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) \) be the Dahm morphism; then \( \text{Ker} D_{\mathcal{L}_n} = \langle \tau^n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

(2) Let \( \Phi \) be the natural map \( \Phi : \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \to \mathbb{R}_n \) induced by forgetting the core circle \( K_0 \). Then \( \text{Ker} \Phi = \langle \tau^n \rangle = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

\textbf{Proof.} We first notice some facts:
(a) The following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) & \xrightarrow{D\lambda_n} & \text{Aut} \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n) \\
\Phi & \downarrow & \Psi \\
R_n & \xrightarrow{D\lambda_n} & \text{Aut} \pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}'_n)
\end{array}
\]

where \(\Phi\) and \(\Psi\) are natural maps induced by the inclusion \(\mathcal{L}'_n \subset \mathcal{L}_n\). Remark that \(\Psi\) is then the map which forgets the generator \(y\) in \(\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}_n)\) and therefore \(\psi(D\lambda_n)(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} \bar{x}_i \\
x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
x_j \mapsto x_j \quad j \neq i, i + 1 \end{cases}\)

where indices are modulo \(n\). Comparing with Theorem 1 and 2 we deduce that the \(\Psi \circ D\lambda_n \circ h_n = \rho_{CB}\) and \(\text{Ker } \Psi \circ D\lambda_n \circ h_n = \langle \zeta^n \rangle\).

(b) As we already recall, it is known that for the trivial link \(\mathcal{L}'_n\) the Dahm morphism \(D\lambda_n\) is injective [14] (where \(h_n : CB_n \to \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n)\) is induced by [2]).

(c) \(\Psi\) is injective when restricted to the image of \(D\lambda_n\). If \(\Psi(f) = \text{id}\), then \(f(x_i) = x_i\) for all \(i = 1, \ldots, n\). If \(f \in \text{Im } D\lambda_n\), then due to the action of the generators (\(\sigma_i\) and \(\tau\)), it implies \(f(y) = y\). Finally if \(\Psi(f) = \text{id}\) and \(f \in \text{Im } D\lambda_n\), then \(f = \text{id}\). Then \(\text{Ker } D\lambda_n \circ h_n = \langle \zeta^n \rangle\). Clearly, \(\tau^n \in \text{Ker } D\lambda_n\); on the other hand since \(h_n\) is surjective, if \(x \in \text{Ker } D\lambda_n\), then \(x \in \text{Im}(\langle \zeta^n \rangle)\) and therefore \(x \in \langle \tau^n \rangle\) (see Remark 5).

For the second statement it is therefore enough to prove that the kernel of \(D\lambda_n\) coincides with the kernel of \(\Phi\).

\[
\gamma \in \text{Ker } \Phi \iff \Phi(\gamma) = \text{id} \\
\iff D\lambda_n \circ \Phi(\gamma) = \text{id} \quad \text{because } D\lambda_n \text{ is injective} \\
\iff \Psi \circ D\lambda_n(\gamma) = \text{id} \quad \text{because the diagram commutes} \\
\iff D\lambda_n(\gamma) = \text{id} \quad \text{because } \Psi|_{D\lambda_n} \text{ is injective} \\
\iff \gamma \in \text{Ker } D\lambda_n(\gamma)
\]

\(\square\)

4. Characterization of Automorphisms

We will use the following notation: for a word \(\bar{w} = w^{-1}\), for an automorphism \(\bar{\alpha} = \alpha^{-1}\). A famous result of Artin, characterizes automorphisms induced by braids.

**Theorem 7** (Artin). The automorphisms induced by the action of \(B_n\) on \(F_n\) are exactly the automorphisms \(\phi\) of \(\text{Aut } F_n\) that verify the two conditions below:

1. \(\phi(x_i) = w_i x_{\pi(i)} \bar{w}_i\)
for some \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \in F_n \) and some permutation \( \pi \in S_n \), and:

\[
\phi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n
\]

Interestingly, if we do not require condition (2), we recover exactly automorphisms of \( \text{Aut} F_n \) induced by welded braids. Recall that the welded braid group is generated by two types of moves \( \sigma_i, \rho_i \), which induced two kinds of automorphisms also denoted \( \sigma_i, \rho_i \) and described in section 3.

**Theorem 8** (Theorem 4.1 of [12]). The automorphisms of \( \text{Aut} F_n \) induced by the action of \( WB_n \) on \( F_n \) are exactly those verifying (1).

As a straightforward consequence we have that the natural map \( B_n \to WB_n \) sending \( \sigma_i \) into \( \sigma_i \) is injective. We will show in section 6 a geometric interpretation of such an embedding.

Now we relax condition (2) and characterize automorphisms induced by our configurations.

**Theorem 9.** The automorphisms induced by the action \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \) on \( \text{Aut} F_n \) are exactly the automorphisms \( \phi \) of \( \text{Aut} F_n \) that verify the two conditions below:

\[
\phi(x_i) = w_i x_{\pi(i)} \bar{w}_i
\]

for some \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \in F_n \) and some permutation \( \pi \in S_n \), and:

\[
\phi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) = wx_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \bar{w}
\]

for some \( w \in F_n \).

**Proof.** Notations:

- We denote by \( \mathcal{A}_n \) the set of automorphisms of \( \text{Aut} F_n \) induced by \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \) and \( \mathcal{B}_n \) the set of automorphisms of \( \text{Aut} F_n \) that verify conditions (3) and (4). We will prove \( \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}_n \).
- We set \( \Delta = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \).
- And for any \( w \in F_n \), we set the automorphism \( g_w \in \text{Aut} F_n \) defined by \( g_w(x_i) = wx_i \bar{w} \). For any \( w' \in F_n \), we have \( g_w(w') = w w' \bar{w} \).

First of all, the action of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \) on \( \text{Aut} F_n \) is generated by the automorphisms \( \sigma_i (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) and \( \tau \) that verify equations (3) and (4). In fact for \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \), \( \sigma_i(\Delta) = \Delta \); \( \sigma_n(\Delta) = x_n \bar{x}_1 \Delta x_1 \bar{x}_n \), \( \tau(\Delta) = \bar{x}_1 \Delta x_1 \). It proves \( \mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_n \).

The remaining part is to prove \( \mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_n \): given an automorphism \( f \in \text{Aut} F_n \) that verifies conditions (3) and (4), we express it as the automorphism induced by some element of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \).

1st step. Generation of \( g_{x_1} \).
A simple verification prove the following equation: the automorphism \( g_{x_1} \) defined by \( x_i \mapsto x_1 x_i \bar{x}_1 \) is generated by elements of \( A_n \).

\[
g_{x_1} = \sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{n-1} \circ \bar{\tau}
\]

2nd step. Generation of \( g_{x_k} \).

\[
g_{x_k} \in A_n \text{ by the following relation:} \quad g_{x_k} = \tau \circ \tau \circ \cdots \tau \circ g_{x_1} \circ \bar{\tau} \circ \bar{\tau} \circ \cdots \bar{\tau}
\]

We also generate \( g_{x_k}^{-1} \) as the inverse of \( g_{x_k} \).

3rd step. Generation of \( g_w \).

Let \( w \in F_n \). We generate the automorphism \( g_w \) by induction on the length of \( w \). Suppose that \( w = x_k w' \) with \( w' \in F_n \) of length strictly less than the length of \( w \). Suppose that \( g_{w'} \in A_n \). Then

\[
g_w = g_{x_k} \circ g_{w'} \in A_n.
\]

4th step. Simplification of the action on \( \Delta \).

Let \( f \in \text{Aut } F_n \). Suppose that \( f \) verifies conditions (3) and (4). In particular, let \( w \in F_n \) such that \( f(\Delta) = w \Delta \bar{w} \). Then \( g_\bar{w} \circ f \) still satisfies conditions of type (3) and the condition (2): \( g_\bar{w} \circ f(\Delta) = \Delta \).

5th step. Artin’s theorem.

Therefore we can suppose that, given \( f \in B_n \) and after a composition \( g \in A_n \) of elements \( \sigma_i, \tau \), \( g \circ f \) verifies condition (1) (which is exactly condition (3)) and condition (2). By Artin’s theorem \( g \circ f \in A_n \). Hence \( f \in A_n \). It ends the proof of \( B_n \subset A_n \), so that we get \( A_n = B_n \).

\[
\square
\]

5. Zero angular sum

We say that \( \Gamma \in \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \) has zero angular sum if \( \Gamma \in \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \rangle \). This definition is motivated by the fact that a move \( \sigma_i \) shifts the component \( K_i \) by an angle of \( \frac{2\pi}{n} \) while \( K_{i+1} \) is shifted by \( -\frac{2\pi}{n} \), the sum of these angles being zero. On the other hand \( \tau \), moves each \( K_i \) by an angle of \( \frac{2\pi}{n} \), with a sum of \( 2\pi \). The aim of this section is to characterize the zero angular sum condition at the level of automorphisms. We will define a kind of total winding number \( \epsilon(\Gamma) \) about the axis of rotation of \( K_0 \).

Let \( \epsilon : \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \to \mathbb{Z} \) defined as follows: to \( \Gamma \in \pi_1(\text{Conf} L_n) \), we associate an automorphism \( \phi = D_{\ell_n}(\Gamma) \) by the Dahm morphism. By theorem (9) \( \phi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) = w x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \bar{w} \), for some \( w \in F_n \). We define \( \epsilon(\Gamma) = \ell(\bar{w}) \in \mathbb{Z} \) to be the algebraic length of the word \( \bar{w} \). We have the following characterization of zero angular sum:

**Proposition 10.** \( \Gamma \in \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \rangle \) if and only if \( \epsilon(\Gamma) = 0 \).

**Proof.** We have the following facts:
• \( \epsilon \) is a morphism.
• If we denote \( \Delta = x_1 \cdots x_n \) then for \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \), \( \sigma_i(\Delta) = \Delta; \sigma_n(\Delta) = x_n \bar{x}_1 \Delta x_1 \bar{x}_n \), \( \tau(\Delta) = \bar{x}_1 \Delta x_1 \). It implies \( \epsilon(\sigma_i) = 0 \) and \( \epsilon(\tau) = 1 \).
• Any \( \Gamma \in \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) can be written \( \Gamma = \tau^k \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_i \) (by using the relations \( \sigma_i \tau = \tau \sigma_i+1 \)).
• Hence \( \epsilon(\Gamma) = 0 \) implies \( k = 0 \), in which case \( \Gamma \in \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \rangle \).

We recall that the affine braid group \( \tilde{A}_{n-1} \), is the group obtained by the group presentation of \( B_{n+1} \) by replacing the relation \( \sigma_n \sigma_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_n \) with the relation \( \sigma_n \sigma_1 \sigma_n = \sigma_1 \sigma_n \sigma_1 \). By comparison of group presentations one deduces that \( CB_n = \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rtimes \langle \zeta \rangle \) (see also [7, 17]). It then follows from Theorem 2 and Remark 5 that \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) = \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rtimes \langle \tau \rangle \). Since \( \tau \) has finite order, \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) inherits some properties of \( \tilde{A}_{n-1} \), in particular:

**Corollary 11.** The group \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) is linear and, provided with the group presentation given in Remark 5, has solvable word problem.

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 10 that:

**Proposition 12.** The affine braid group on \( n \) strands, \( \tilde{A}_{n-1} \) is isomorphic to the subgroup of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) consisting of elements of zero angular sum.

Consider now the representation \( \rho_{\text{Aff}} : \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Aut} F_n \) induced by the action of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \) on \( \text{Aut} F_n \) (obtained by setting \( y = 1 \)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\text{Aff}}(\sigma_i) : & \quad \begin{cases} 
    x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} \bar{x}_i, \\
    x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i, \\
    x_j \mapsto x_j, \quad j \neq i, i+1.
  \end{cases} \\
\rho_{\text{Aff}}(\sigma_n) : & \quad \begin{cases} 
    x_1 \mapsto x_n, \\
    x_n \mapsto x_n x_1 \bar{x}_n, \\
    x_j \mapsto x_j, \quad j \neq 1, n.
  \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 13.**

i) The representation \( \rho_{\text{Aff}} : \tilde{A}_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Aut} F_n \) is faithful.

ii) An element \( \phi \in \text{Aut} F_n \) belongs to \( \rho_{\text{Aff}}(\tilde{A}_{n-1}) \) if and only if it verifies the conditions below:

\[
\phi(x_i) = w_i x_{\pi(i)} \bar{w}_i
\]

for some \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \in F_n \) and some permutation \( \pi \in S_n \), and:

\[
\phi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n) = w x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n \bar{w}
\]

for some \( w \in F_n \) with algebraic length \( \ell(w) = 0 \).

**Proof.** The kernel of \( \rho_{\text{Aff}} \) is a subgroup of the kernel of \( \Psi \circ D_{\mathcal{L}_n} \circ h_n \), which is generated by \( \tau^n \) (Lemma 9). Since \( \tau^n \) generates the center of \( \pi_1(\text{Conf} \mathcal{L}_n) \), the kernel of \( \rho_{\text{Aff}} \) is a subgroup of the center of \( \tilde{A}_{n-1} \). Since the center of \( \tilde{A}_{n-1} \) is trivial (see for instance [11]) we can conclude that \( \rho_{\text{Aff}} \) is faithful. The
characterization given in the second statement follows combining Theorem 9 and Proposition 10.

6. The linear necklace

Let $C_n^* = K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_n$ be the link where each $K_i$ is a Euclidean circle parallel to the $yz$-plane and centered at the $x$-axis. We call such a link a linear necklace, thinking of the $x$-axis as $K_0$, a circle passing through a point at infinity.

![Figure 12. A linear necklace.](image)

We recall that $UR_n$ is the configuration space of $n$ disjoint Euclidean circles lying on planes parallel to the $yz$-plane. We have that:

**Theorem 14.** The inclusion of $Conf C_n^*$ into $UR_n$ induces an injection at the level of fundamental groups.

**Proof.** Let us remark that the moves $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ depicted in figure 2 belong to $\pi_1(Conf C_n^*)$. Actually, they generate $\pi_1(Conf C_n^*)$; in fact, the position of any circle $K_i$ of $C_n^*$ is determined by the intersection with the half-plane $y = 0$ and $z > 0$. It follows that the configuration space of linear necklaces, $Conf C_n^*$, can be identified with the configuration space of $n$ distinct points in the (half-)plane, so that $\pi_1(Conf C_n^*) = B_n$, where generators are exactly moves $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$. □

The previous result provides then a geometrical interpretation for the algebraic embedding of $B_n$ into $WB_n$ as subgroups of $Aut(F_n)$.

**Pure subgroups.** Let us denote by $Conf_{Ord}C_n$ the configuration space of $n$ disjoint Euclidean ordered circles lying on planes parallel to the $yz$-plane: $\pi_1(Conf_{Ord}C_n)$ is called the pure welded braid group on $n$ strands and will be denoted by $WP_n$, while $\pi_1(Conf_{Ord}C_n^*)$ is isomorphic to the pure braid group $P_n$. Previous results imply that $P_n$ embeds geometrically in $WP_n$.

More precisely, the group $\pi_1(Conf_{Ord}C_n^*)$ is generated by the family of paths $\lambda_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$: $\lambda_{i,j}$ moves only the $i$-th circle that passes inside the
following ones until the \( j - 1 \)-th one, inside-outside the \( j \)-th one and that finally comes back passing inside the other circles. Notice also that in [5] was introduced the configuration spaces of circles lying on parallel planes of different size, that we can denote by \( \mathcal{UR}_n^{<} \). We can take as base-point for \( \pi_1(\mathcal{UR}_n^{<}) \) a configuration of parallel circles with center on the \( z \)-axis and such that for any \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \) the \( i \)-th circle has radius greater than the radius of the \( i + 1 \)-th one. Let us remark that all other choices of base point give conjugated subgroups in \( WP_n \) corresponding to different permutations of circles. As shown in [5], \( \pi_1(\mathcal{UR}_n^{<}) \) is generated by \( \delta_{i,j} \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \): \( \delta_{i,j} \) moves only the \( i \)-th circle that passes outside the \( j - 1 \)-th one (without passing inside-outside the other circles) and moves back (without passing inside-outside the other circles).

Let us recall that \( \pi_1(\mathcal{UR}_n^{<}) \) is called upper McCool group in [10] and denoted by \( P\Sigma_n^+ \): it is interesting to remark that \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) and \( P_n \) have isomorphic Lie algebras associated to the lower central series and the groups themselves are isomorphic for \( n = 2, 3 \) ([10]). A. Suciu communicated to us that using ideas from [9] it is possible to show that the ranks of Chen groups are different for \( n > 3 \) and therefore \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) and \( P_n \) are not isomorphic for \( n > 3 \).

**Appendix: proof of Theorem 1**

**Theorem 1.** The kernel of \( \rho_{CB} : CB_n \rightarrow \text{Aut} \ F_n \) is the cyclic group generated by \( \zeta^n \).

- Let us recall that when we restrict the map \( D_C : R_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{L}', *)) \) to the braid group \( B_n \) we get the usual Artin representation \( \rho_A : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut} \ F_n \):

\[
\rho_A(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} 
  x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i \\
  x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i \\
  x_j \mapsto x_j \quad j \neq i, i+1 
\end{cases}
\]

for the usual generators \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \) of \( B_n \).

Therefore we can define the group \( B_n \rtimes_{\rho_A} F_n \): as generators we will denote by \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \) the generators of the factor \( B_n \) and by \( \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n \) a set of generators for \( F_n \). According to such a set of generators a possible complete set of relations is the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_i &= \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, \\
\alpha_i \alpha_j &= \alpha_j \alpha_i & \text{for } |i - j| \neq 1, \\
\alpha_i^{-1} \eta_i \alpha_i &= \eta_i \eta_{i+1} \eta_i^{-1} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \\
\alpha_i^{-1} \eta_{i+1} \alpha_i &= \eta_i & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \\
\alpha_i^{-1} \eta_k \alpha_i &= \eta_k & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \quad \text{and } k \neq i, i + 1 
\end{align*}
\]

- The group \( CB_n \) is isomorphic to \( B_n \rtimes_{\rho_A} F_n \) ([11]): we left to the reader the verification that a possible isomorphism is the map \( \Theta_n : CB_n \rightarrow B_n \rtimes_{\rho_A} F_n \) defined as follows: \( \Theta_n(\zeta) = \sigma_{n-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \eta_1 \), \( \Theta_n(\sigma_j) = \alpha_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( \Theta_n(\sigma_n) = \eta_1^{-1} \sigma_1^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{n-2}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{-1} \sigma_{n-2} \cdots \sigma_{n} \eta_1 \).
Using the action by conjugation of $F_n$ on itself we get a representation $\chi_n : B_n \ltimes \rho_A F_n \to \text{Aut } F_n$. More precisely, $\chi_n(\alpha_j) = \rho_A(\sigma_j)$ and $\chi_n(\eta_i)(x_k) = x_i^{-1} x_k x_i$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha_i$.
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